


REMARKS

Applicant believes that Claims 67 through 166 set forth in full above constitute a clear definition of the invention and the features that distinguish it from the prior art. Applicant also believes that the above claims are substantially improved but entirely consistent with the claims that have already been allowed by the Examiner. In addition Applicant believes that Claims 188 through 199, which embody a somewhat more precise definition of the invention and were first proposed in his response of 10/10/95, are also consistent with the Examiner's criteria for allowability as implied by his previous allowance of Claims 67 through 166. Consequently, the Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to allow Claims 67-166 and 188-199 as set forth above so that a patent on this invention can issue.

Applicant also reminds the Examiner that the Applicant has revoked the power of attorney previously issued to the firm of Levi-sohn, Lerner and Berger and is now pursuing this application himself. Consequently, future communications should be sent directly to him at the address shown below.

Respectfully Submitted,

  
John B. Fenn  
4909 Cary Street Road  
Richmond, VA 23226  
30 November 1995